arXiv:1302.5961v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 24 Feb 2013

PACS: 05.60.-k, 47.85.-g, 47.20.-k

Time scales and structures of wave interaction

Elena Kartashova*
Institute for Analysis, J. Kepler University, Linz, Austria

In this paper we give a general account of Wave Interaction Theory which by now consists of two parts: kinetic wave turbulence theory (WTT), using a statistical description of wave interactions, and the D-model recently introduced in *Kartashova, PRE **86**: 041129 (2012)* describing interactions of distinct modes. Applying time scale analysis to weakly nonlinear wave systems modeled by the focusing nonlinear Schödinger equation, we give an overview of the structures appearing in Wave Interaction Theory, their time scales and characteristic times. We demonstrate that kinetic cascade and D-cascade are not competing processes but rather two processes taking place at different time scales, at different characteristic levels of nonlinearity and due to different physical mechanisms. Taking surface water waves as an example we show that energy cascades in this system occur at much faster characteristic times than those required by the kinetic WTT but can be described as D-cascades. As D-model has no special pre-requisites, it may be rewarding to re-evaluate existing experiments in other wave systems appearing in hydrodynamics, nonlinear optics, electrodynamics, plasma, convection theory, etc.

1. Introduction.

Wave Interaction Theory describes the interaction of waves in terms of energy exchange among weakly nonlinear modes. Two main types of energy transport have been intensively studied: exchange of energy among a small number of modes, for example an isolated resonant triad or quartet, and time evolution of the wave field as a whole consisting of an infinite number of modes.

Interaction of distinct modes. The dynamic equations for a resonant triad have been solved analytically by the end of the 19th century [1], and for a resonant quartet in 2005, [2]. From the 1950s on, extensive numerical and experimental studies of isolated triads and quartets have been performed, see [3] and bibl. therein. In the 1990s the notion of independently evolving resonance clusters formed by isolated or connected resonant triads or quartets was established [4], and their kinematic properties investigated, [5]. Giving also a description of the dynamics of resonant clusters, the theory of nonlinear resonance analysis was formulated in [6].

In 2012 the study of the interaction of distinct modes was extended to describe unidirectional energy transport over scales in Fourier space (this mechanism is called an energy cascade). The increment chain equation method (ICEM) for computing the energy spectrum of a cascade formed by distinct modes (further referred to as D-cascade) was introduced in [7]. In [8], the D-model of weakly nonlinear wave interaction was presented, which integrates in a single theoretical frame D-cascades and resonance clusters and gives conditions when which regime will take place.

Interaction of an infinite number of modes. The first oceanographic studies aiming to describe wave field evolution of surface water waves in terms of energy cascades date back to the beginning of the twentieth century. A major breakthrough was achieved by Hasselmann in 1962, in [9], giving a statistical description of an energy cascade in terms of a wave kinetic equation. The stationary solution of this kinetic equation (further referred to as K-cascade) for capillary waves has been found in 1967 by Zakharov and Filonenko, [10], coining the term "weak turbulence". The method was extended to other classes of dispersive weakly nonlinear wave systems and renamed to wave turbulence theory (WTT), in [11].

Physical mechanism	Structure	Computation method
s-wave interaction	K-cascade	kinetic equation method, [11]
s-wave interaction	Resonance clusters	q-class decomposition, [6]
modulation instability	D-cascade	ICEM, [8]

TABLE I: Structures and their computation methods

Experimental studies performed through the last decades revealed numerous phenomena such as dependence of the form of energy spectra on the excitation parameters [12], exponential form of the energy spectrum [13], nonlinear frequencies of the modes forming a cascade [14], etc., which could not be covered by or even were in contradiction to the WTT. This was addressed by the invention of new models of WTT, among them frozen WTT, mesoscopic WTT, finite-dimensional WTT, [15–17], all of them relying on the statistical model of a K-cascade.

The paradigm changed with the advent of the D-cascade which uses a deterministic model for an energy cascade. Presently an experimentalist has the choice between two models for an energy cascade - K-cascade and

---

*Electronic address: Elena.Kartaschova@jku.at

D-cascade - to describe an experiment. In this Letter we give criteria for this choice.

We begin with an overview of the structures regarded in Wave Interaction Theory - D-cascade, resonance clusters and K-cascade, their time scales and characteristic times. We demonstrate that a K-cascade and a D-cascade are not competing processes, but rather two processes, which take place at different time scales, at different characteristic levels of nonlinearity and which are based on different physical mechanisms - resonant interactions of linear modes (K-cascade) and modulation instability (D-cascade). Also the form of the cascade is different: a K-cascade is decaying according to *power law* and is independent of the total energy in the wave system. A D-cascade is decaying *exponentially* and its form depends on the energy contained in the wave system.

Taking surface water waves as an example, we show, that energy cascades in this system occur at much faster characteristic times than those required by the kinetic WTT but can be described as D-cascades.

At the end of this Letter, a list of important properties is given which can be used to determine from a given set of experimental or numerical data which type of cascade - D-cascade or K-cascade or even both - should be used to describe these data.

2. Time scales.

Regard a nonlinear dispersive PDE of the form

$$D\,\psi(x,t) = F(\psi, \psi_x, \psi_t, \psi_{xx}, \psi_{xt}, \psi_{tt}, ..) \text{ where} \quad (1)$$

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial_t} + \sum_{j=0}^{J} (-1)^j A_j \frac{\partial^{2j+1}}{\partial_{x^{2j+1}}}, \quad (2)$$

$$D\,\varphi(x,t) = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \varphi(x,t) = A \exp[i(kx - \o(k)t)], \quad (3)$$

$$\o^2(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{J} A_j k^{2j+1} > 0,\; v(k) = \frac{d\o(k)}{dk} \neq \text{const.} \quad (4)$$

Conditions (4) provide that the dispersion function $\o(k)$ is a real function and not linear in k.

For studying (1) with small nonlinearity we follow [18] introducing a small parameter $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and assuming that the solutions of (1) have again the form $\widetilde{A}\exp[i(kx - \o(k)t)]$, but with slowly changing amplitudes $\widetilde{A}(t\varepsilon^m, x\varepsilon^m)$. Then the nonlinearity F can be rewritten as

$$F(\varepsilon\psi, \varepsilon\psi_x, \varepsilon\psi_t, \varepsilon\psi_{xx}, \varepsilon\psi_{xt}, \varepsilon\psi_{tt}, ..) =$$
$$\sum_{m=2}^{M} \varepsilon^m F^{(m)}(\psi, \psi_x, \psi_t, \psi_{xx}, \psi_{xt}, \psi_{tt}, ..) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{M+1}). \quad (5)$$

The use of any multi-scale method (in essence a transformation of variables) then allows to study the properties of a given solution to (1) at each time scale $\sim 1/\varepsilon^m$ separately. Depending on the chosen level of nonlinearity, different physical phenomena – resonance clusters, D-cascade and K-cascade – can occur as it will be shown in the Sec.3.

3. Structures.

The structures described in the next subsections and are shown in Table I in which they are classified according to the physical mechanism underlying formation of each structure.

3.1. D-cascade, $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-1}$.

Beginning with one wave, any multi-scale method inevitably yields – at time scale $1/\varepsilon^2$ – the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in "slow" variables T, X:

$$i\alpha_1 \widetilde{A}_T + \alpha_2 \widetilde{A}_{XX} + \alpha_3 |\widetilde{A}|^2 \widetilde{A} = 0, \quad (6)$$

(for simplicity written in 1+1 dimensions, for details see e.g. [18]). An NLS is called focusing if $\alpha_3/a_1 > 0$, and therefore admits modulation instability which in turn is the prerequisite for a D-cascade. In the case of non-focusing NLS energy cascades are also observable, e.g. in nonlinear optics, however no theoretical model is known to describe them. In this section we restrict ourselves to the case of a focusing NLS.

Modulation instability is the effect that, under certain conditions, a wave train $\o_0$, called carrier wave, becomes modulated by two side-band modes with slightly different frequencies $\o_1$ and $\o_2$ fulfilling conditions $\o_1 + \o_2 = 2\o_0$, $\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 = 2\mathbf{k}_0$, where $\o_1 = \o_0 + \Delta\o$, $\o_2 = \o_0 - \Delta\o$, $0 < \Delta\o \ll 1$, [19]. For each mode $\o_0$ its instability interval can be written and the most unstable mode within this interval can be computed, also for modified NLS-s, e.g. [20, 21]. Modulation instability is the physical mechanism underlying the formation of a D-cascade.

Starting with one modulationally unstable mode $\o_0$, at the first D-cascade step $n = 1$ two side-band modes are generated, $\o_1$ and $\o_{-1}$, and $\Delta\o_1$ is chosen in such a way that one of the side-bands is the most unstable mode within the corresponding instability interval. *The most unstable modes are called cascading modes.* A side-band mode, obtained at the cascade step n from the n-th NLS, is the carrier mode for the $(n+1)$-th NLS at the cascade step $n+1$. The direct and inverse cascade are not symmetrical, [22].

For computing the frequencies and amplitudes of the cascading modes, at each cascade step n the increment chain equation method (ICEM) is used, [7], yielding two chain equations

$$\o_{n+1} = \o_n + \o_n A(\o_n) k_n, \quad (7)$$

$$\o_{-(n+1)} = \o_{-n} - \o_{-n} A(\o_{-n}) k_{-n}, \quad (8)$$

describing the formation of a unidirectional D-cascade, for direct and inverse cascades respectively. After n cascade steps, n cascading and $(2^n - n)$ non-cascading modes are excited. Non-cascading modes provide spectrum broadening. The chain equations may be used to compute the form of the energy spectrum, the direction of a cascade, conditions of cascade termination, etc., [8]. The form of D-cascade is exponential as $A_n^2 = p^n A_0^2$, $0 < p < 1$ with some constant p. The form can be approximated by $c_1 k^{\alpha_1} + c_2 k^{\alpha_2}$ where α_1, a_2 are constants for a given dispersion function while c_1, c_2 depend on the energy of excitation, [7].

It follows from (7),(8) that the frequencies of the cascading modes are nonlinear, i.e. dependent on amplitudes. It can be shown that (7),(8) describe exact resonances of nonlinear Stokes waves in Zakharov's equation.

If the nonlinearity gets too small, all modes are linear and a D-cascade is not formed. Instead, under some additional conditions [23], resonance clusters may occur.

Remark 1. For a D-cascade described by (7),(8), the characteristic level of nonlinearity is $\varepsilon \sim 0.1 \div 0.25$, and the chain equation is computed from the NLS. For bigger nonlinearity, say $\varepsilon \sim 0.25 \div 0.4$, a modified NLS should be taken with nonlinear terms up to order 4; for details see [20, 21]. The corresponding chain equations are given in [7].

3.2. Resonance clusters, $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-2}$.

In an s-wave resonance, the application of a multi-scale method yields a system of equations of the form (6) with interconnected coefficients whose solutions satisfy resonance conditions $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \pm \o(\mathbf{k}_j) = 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \pm \mathbf{k}_j = 0$. Neglecting the "slow" space variables, one gets the well-known dynamical systems for 3- and 4-wave resonances, respectively, of the form (in canonical variables B_j)

$$i\dot{B}_1 = V_{12}^3 B_2^* B_3,\ i\dot{B}_2 = V_{12}^3 B_1^* B_3,\ i\dot{B}_3 = -V_{12}^3 B_1 B_2; \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{cases} i\,\dot{B}_1 = V_{34}^{12} B_2^* B_3 B_4,\ ,i\,\dot{B}_2 = V_{34}^{12} B_1^* B_3 B_4, \\ i\,\dot{B}_3 = (V_{34}^{12})^* B_4^* B_1 B_2,\ ,i\,\dot{B}_4 = (V_{34}^{12})^* B_3^* B_1 B_2 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

The systems (9),(10) describe the *primary* resonance clusters in 3- and 4-wave systems. In these wave systems also independent *common* clusters may be formed which consist of primary clusters having joint modes; they form the bridge to distributed initial state necessary for K-cascade. The form of common clusters can be computed by q-class decomposition, [6].

Time-scales for 3- and 4-wave resonances are $T_{3-res} \sim 1/\varepsilon$ and $T_{4-res} \sim 1/\varepsilon^2$, respectively. They are called dynamic time scales.

3.3. K-cascade, $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-2}$.

An initial energy distribution over *an infinite number of resonance clusters* is the prerequisite of kinetic WTT, [11]. In order to go to the kinetic regime, one has to make assumptions (including, but not restricted to: existence of an infinite number of primary resonance clusters with linear frequencies interconnected in a special way, a wave field close to the stationary state, homogeneity in space, existence of an inertial interval where energy is conserved) which are necessary for the mathematical deduction of the wave kinetic equation.

By statistical ensemble averaging, dynamics of individual modes (at the dynamic time scale) is averaged out, and field evolution is described at the much longer kinetic time scale. The 3-wave kinetic equation reads as

$$\frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}t} B_3^2 = \int |V_{12}^3|^2 \delta(\o_3 - \o_1 - \o_2)\delta(\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \cdot (B_1 B_2 - B_1^* B_3 - B_2^* B_3)\mathbf{dk}_1\mathbf{dk}_2 \quad (11)$$

and is valid at the time scale $T_{3-kin} \sim 1/\varepsilon^2$. For a 4-wave system a similar kinetic equation is deduced at the time scale $T_{4-kin} \sim 1/\varepsilon^4$ and so on for any s-wave system with finite s. Time scales $T_{3-kin}, T_{4-kin}, ...$ are called kinetic time scales. An s-wave K-cascade is a stationary solution of the s-wave kinetic equation and occurs at the kinetic time scale $T_{s-kin} \sim 1/\varepsilon^{2(s-2)}$. K-cascade decays according to power law k^α where α is constant for a given linear dispersion relation and does not depend on the total energy in a wave system.

Physical mechanism	Order of nonlinearity	Time scales		
		$\sim 1/\varepsilon$	$\sim 1/\varepsilon^2$	$\sim 1/\varepsilon^4$
Modulation instability	$\varepsilon \sim 10^{-1}$	-	D-cascade	-
3-wave interactions	$\varepsilon \sim 10^{-2}$	3-wave resonance clusters	3-wave K-cascade	-
4-wave interactions	$\varepsilon \sim 10^{-2}$	-	4-wave resonance clusters	4-wave K-cascade

TABLE II: Time scales and structures of weakly nonlinear wave interaction

The fact that for any s-wave system the kinetic time scale is substantially longer than the resonance time scale can be understood in the following way: a wave system needs a long time to move from independently evolving *finite resonance clusters* via non-resonant interactions to a homogeneous and almost stationary state, with energy distributed over *an infinite number of modes.*

The structures and time scales which are known in wave interaction are shown in Table II. To demonstrate the capabilities of our approach, in the next section we compute time scales and characteristic times for various water waves.

Remark 2. Both for resonance clusters and K-cascades, the small parameter ε must be small enough to exclude nonlinear frequency shift; it is usually taken as $\varepsilon \sim 0.01$. If the nonlinearity gets bigger, the dispersion relation becomes dependent on amplitudes yielding a nonlinear frequency shift, and neither q-class decomposition nor kinetic equation method can be used. No general methods are known for describing resonance clusters or K-cascades for nonlinear frequencies.

4. Characteristic times for water waves.

As it is shown in Table II, in any s-wave system with $s \geq 4$ a K-cascade is formed at a much slower time scale than a D-cascade. The only exception is a 3-wave system where a D-cascade and a K-cascade are formed at the same time scale $\sim 1/\varepsilon^2$. However, as the magnitudes of the small parameter ε are different for these two cases ($\varepsilon_{res} \sim 10^{-2}$ and $\varepsilon_{MI} \sim 10^{-1}$), the characteristic time for formation of a D-cascade is still much shorter than the characteristic time for formation of 3-wave K-cascade. We illustrate this below, computing the characteristic time for both cascades and for various types of water waves.

Let us first regard surface water waves with $\o^2 = gk$ and wave length $\lambda = 1m$. Then the wave number $k = 2\pi/\lambda \approx 6,3m^{-1}$, the wave frequency $\o = (9,81 \cdot 6,3)^{1/2} \approx 7,86sec^{-1}$ and the wave period is $t = 2\pi/\o \approx 0.8sec.$

wave length	wave type	dispersion relation	wave period	T_{3-res}	T_{3-kin}	T_{MI}	T_{4-res}	T_{4-kin}
1 mm	capillary	$ø^2 = \frac{\sigma}{\rho}k^3$	0,0022 sec	0,22 sec	22 sec	0,22 sec	-	-
10 cm	gravity-capillary	$ø^2 = \frac{\sigma}{\rho}k^3 + gk$	0,25 sec	25 sec	42 min	25 sec	-	-
1 m	surface gravity	$ø^2 = gk$	0,8 sec	-	-	80 sec	2,5 hours	2,63 years
10 m	surface gravity	$ø^2 = gk$	2,53 sec	-	-	4 min	7 hours	8 years

TABLE III: Characteristic times for formation of D-cascade, K-cascade and resonance clustering in various water waves (at 20°C): the gravitational constant of acceleration $g = 9,8m/sec^2$; density $\rho = 10^3 kg/m^3$; the coefficient of surface tension $\sigma = 72,75 \cdot 10^{-3} kg \cdot m/sec^2$. Characteristic levels of nonlinearity are taken as in the Table II: $\varepsilon_{MI} \sim 10^{-1}$, $\varepsilon_{res} \sim 10^{-2}$

Corresponding characteristic times are:

for a D-cascade: $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-1} \Rightarrow T_{MI} \sim t/\varepsilon^2 = t/10^{-2} \sim$ 80 sec,

for 4-wave resonances: $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-2} \Rightarrow T_{4-res} \sim t/\varepsilon^2 = t/10^{-4} \sim 8000$ sec $\approx$ 2,5 hours,

for a 4-wave K-cascade: $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-2} \Rightarrow T_{4-kin} \sim t/\varepsilon^4 = t/10^{-8} \sim$ about 3 years.

In Table III examples are given of the characteristic time, this is the time, measured in wave periods, it takes for a wave phenomenon to occur for different types of water waves and typical wave lengths. Water waves have been chosen as an example because at least some, though not many, measurements of the time scale of wave field evolution are known. For instance, it is established experimentally that the "fast" wave field evolution of gravity surface waves (caused by a sudden wind increase) occurs after only a few dozen wave periods [24]. The difference between time scales, say, T_{MI} and T_{4-kin} is also very well known to physicists working on present-day wave forecasting systems, e.g. [25].

Last not the least. In [12] the estimate is given that the formation of a K-cascade in surface water waves with wavelength of 60 meters "would require a tank of approximately 60 km" in length. As the corresponding time scale is of the order of years, we conclude that it may not be possible at all to observe this cascade experimentally: A tank of 60 km in length would have to be in the open air, and each gust of wind would destroy the assumptions of the kinetic WTT about the wave fields being close to stationarity and being homogeneous in space.

5. Summary and conclusions.

In this Letter we have shown that in the focusing NLS three structures of wave interaction may occur - D-cascade, resonance clusters and K-cascade. Their properties are listed below.

D-cascade:

1D) nonlinearity $\varepsilon_{MI} \sim 10^{-1}$;
2D) generated by modulation instability;
3D) built of nonlinear frequencies;
4D) occurs at the dynamic time scale $T_{MI} \sim t/\varepsilon_{MI}^2$;
5D) decays exponentially; its form depends on the total energy in the wave system.

K-cascade:

1K) nonlinearity $\varepsilon_{res} \sim 10^{-2}$;
2K) generated by $s-$wave resonant interactions;
3K) built of linear frequencies;
4K) occurs at kinetic time scales, for instance, $T_{3-kin} \sim t/\varepsilon_{res}^2$ for 3-wave interaction and $T_{4-kin} \sim t/\varepsilon_{res}^4$ for 4-wave interaction;
5K) decays according to a power law; its form does not depend on the total energy in the wave system.

Resonance cluster:

1R) nonlinearity $\varepsilon_{res} \sim 10^{-2}$;
2R) generated by $s-$wave resonant interactions;
3R) built of linear frequencies;
4R) occurs at dynamic time scales, for instance, $T_{3-res} \sim t/\varepsilon_{res}$ for 3-wave interaction and $T_{4-res} \sim t/\varepsilon_{res}^2$ for 4-wave interaction.

For any set of data, either from experiment or from direct numerical simulation with evolution equations, *all of the criteria* given above must be checked in order to decide which model - D-cascade or K-cascade - should be used to describe them.

As the D-cascade is a very young concept - the increment chain equation method has been published in March 2012, and the D-model in October 2012, one can not expect to find experimental studies interpreted in terms of a D-cascade. But, as D-model has no special pre-requisites, it may be rewarding to re-evaluate existing experiments, especially if they use high levels of nonlinearity or are even known to have an exponential energy spectrum, as in [13, 26].

A D-cascade as discussed in this Letter relies on the physical mechanism of modulation instability which is found "in numerous physical situations including water waves, plasma waves, laser beams, and electromagnetic transmission lines" [27]. An application area of special interest is the formation of extreme waves for which modulation instability plays a central role, e.g. [28–32].

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to both Referees for useful suggestions which allowed to improve the presentation. The author is very much obliged to the organizing committee of the workshop "Integrable systems – continuous and discrete – and transition to chaos" (Centro Internacional de Ciencias, Cuernavaca, Mexico; November-December 2012), where part of this work was accomplished. Author is specially grateful to F. Calogero, P. Santini and H. Tobisch for fruitful discussions. This work has been supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) under project P22943.

---

[1] E. T. Whittaker. *A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies* (Cambrige University Press, 1937).

[2] M. Stiassnie, and L. Shemer. *Wave motion* **41** (2005), 307.

[3] A. D. Craik. *Wave Interactions and Fluid Flows* (Cambridge University Press, 1985).

[4] E. Kartashova. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **72** (1994), 2013.

[5] E. Kartashova. In *Nonlinear Waves and Weak Turbulence*, ed. V. E. Zakharov (AMS Trans. 2, 1998), 95–129.

[6] E. Kartashova. *Nonlinear Resonance Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).

[7] E. Kartashova. *EPL* **97** (2012), 30004.

[8] E. Kartashova. *PRE* **86** (2012), 041129.

[9] K. Hasselmann. *Fluid Mech.*, **12** (1962), 481.

[10] V. E. Zakharov and N. N. Filonenko. *Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.* **4** (1967), 500–15.

[11] V. E. Zakharov, V. S. L'vov, G. Falkovich. *Kolmogorov Spectra of Turbulence* (Springer, 1992);

[12] A. C. Newell, B. Rumpf. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **43** (2011), 59.

[13] L. Deike, M. Berhanu, E. Falcon. *Phys. Rev. E.* **85** (2012), 066311.

[14] D. Snouck, M.-T. Westra and W. van de Water. *Physics of Fluids* **21** (2009), 025102.

[15] A. N. Pushkarev. *Eur. J. Mech. – B/Fluids* **18**(3) (1999), 345.

[16] V. E. Zakharov, A. O. Korotkevich, A. N. Pushkarev, A. I. Dyachenko. *JETP Lett.* **82** (2005), 487.

[17] V. S. L'vov, A. Pomyalov, I. Procaccia, and O. Rudenko. *Phys. Rev. E.* **80** (2009), 066319.

[18] F. Calogero. In: V. E. Zakharov (ed.). *What is integrability?* (Springer, 1992, pp.1–62)

[19] T. B. Benjamin, J. E. Feir. *Fluid Mech.* **27** (1967), 417.

[20] K. B. Dysthe. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **369** (1979), 105.

[21] S. J. Hogan. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **402** (1985), 359.

[22] E. Kartashova and I. V. Shugan. *EPL* **95** (2011), 30003.

[23] K. Hasselmann. *Fluid Mech.*, **30** (1967), 737–39.

[24] L. Autard. Ph.D. thesis, Universiteé Aix-Marseille I and II, 1995.

[25] P. A. E. M. Janssen *The interaction of ocean waves and wind* (Cambridge University Press, 2004).

[26] H. Xia, M. Shats and H. Punzmann. *EPL* **91** (2010): 14002.

[27] V. E. Zakharov, L. A. Ostrovsky. *Physica D* **238** (2009), 540.

[28] C. Kharif, E. Pelinovsky and A. Slunyaev. *Rogue waves in the ocean* (Springer, 2009).

[29] M. Onorato, T. Waseda, A. Toffoli, L. Cavaleri, O. Gramstad, P. A. E. M. Janssen, T. Kinoshita, J. Monbaliu, N. Mori, A. R. Osborne, M. Serio, C. T. Stansberg, H. Tamura, and K. Trulsen. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009), 114502.

[30] A. V. Slunyaev, A.V. Sergeeva. *JETP Lett.* **94** (2011), 779.

[31] A. Slunyaev, I. Didenkulova, E. Pelinovsky *Contemp. Phys.* **52** (2011), 571.

[32] I. I. Didenkulova, I. F. Nikolkina, E. N. Pelinovsky. *JETP Lett.* **97** (2013), 221.